# "BOTTOM OF THE WELL" SEMI-CLASSICAL TRACE INVARIANTS

V. GUILLEMIN, T. PAUL, AND A. URIBE

ABSTRACT. Let  $\hat{H}$  be an  $\hbar$ -admissible pseudodifferential operator whose principal symbol, H, has a unique non-degenerate global minimum. We give a simple proof that the semi-classical asymptotics of the eigenvalues of  $\hat{H}$  corresponding to the "bottom of the well" determine the Birkhoff normal form of H at the minimum. We treat both the resonant and the non-resonant cases.

#### 1. Introduction

Let X be an n-dimensional manifold and  $\hat{H}$  a self-adjoint zeroth order semiclassical  $\Psi$ DO acting on the space of half-densities,  $|\Omega|^{1/2}(X)$ . We will assume that the principal symbol,  $H(x,\xi)$ , of  $\hat{H}$  has a unique non-degenerate global minimum, H=C, at some point  $(x_0,\xi_0)$ , and that outside a small neighborhood of  $(x_0,\xi_0)$ H is bounded from below by  $C+\delta$ , for some  $\delta>0$ . We will also assume that at  $(x_0,\xi_0)$  the subprincipal symbol of  $\hat{H}$  vanishes. From these assumptions one can deduce that on an interval

$$C < E < C + \epsilon$$
,  $\epsilon < \delta$ ,

the spectrum of  $\hat{H}$  is discrete and consists of eigenvalues:

$$(1.1) E_i(\hbar), \quad 1 \le i \le N(\hbar),$$

where

(1.2) 
$$N(\hbar) \sim (2\pi\hbar)^{-n} \text{ Vol } \{ (x,\xi) ; H(x,\xi) \le C + \epsilon \}.$$

In addition, we will make a non-degeneracy assumption on the Hessian of  $H(x,\xi)$  at  $(x_0,\xi_0)$ . Choose a Darboux coordinate system centered at  $(x_0,\xi_0)$  such that

(1.3) 
$$H(x,\xi) = C + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{u_i}{2} (x_i^2 + \xi_i^2) + \cdots$$

In this paper we present a short proof of the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that the  $u_i$ 's are linearly independent over the rationals and that the subprincipal symbol of  $\hat{H}$  vanishes at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ . Then the eigenvalues, (1.1), determine the Taylor series of H at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  up to symplectomorphism or, in other words, determine the Birkhoff canonical form of H at  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ .

V.G. supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0408993.

A.U. supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0401064.

Our results are closely related to some recent results of [4] on the Schrödinger operator,  $\hat{H} = \hbar^2 \Delta + V$ , which show that the "bottom of the well" spectral asymptotics determines the Taylor series of V at  $x_0$ . They are also related to inverse spectral results of [2], [6], [3] and [5]. In these papers it is shown that if

(1.4) 
$$\exp tv_H, \qquad v_H = \sum \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$$

is the classical dynamical system on  $T^*M$  associated with H and  $T_{\gamma}$  is the period of a periodic trajectory  $\gamma$  of this system, the asymptotic behavior of the wave trace,  $\operatorname{trace}\left(\exp\frac{-it\hat{H}}{\hbar}\right)$  at  $NT_{\gamma},\,N\in\mathbb{Z}$ , determines the Birkhoff canonical form of (1.4) in a formal neighborhood of  $\gamma$ . (In the first two papers we have cited, results of this type are proved for standard  $\Psi \mathrm{DOs}$ , and [3] and [5] are versions of these results in the semiclassical setting.) It turns out that if the trajectory,  $\gamma$ , is replaced by a fixed point of the system (1.4) and, in particular, if this fixed point is a non-degenerate minimum of  $H(x,\xi)$ , the recovery of the Birkhoff canonical form from the spectral data, (1.1), can be greatly simplified. Our goal, in this short note, is to show why. We also obtain nearly-optimal results in the resonant case, see §4.

## 2. The semi-classical Birkhoff canonical form

We quickly review here the construction of the semi-classical Birkhoff canonical form of  $\hat{H}$ . We follow the exposition in [1] and refer to that paper for details.

Performing a preliminary microlocalization and conjugation by an  $\hbar$ -FIO, we can assume that  $\hat{H}$  is an operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and that the global minimum  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  is the origin  $(0,0) \in T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let us denote by

$$[\hat{H}] = \sum_{\alpha,\beta,k} x^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta} \hbar^{k}$$

the Taylor series of the full Weyl symbol of  $\hat{H}$ , where the monomial  $x^{\alpha}\xi^{\beta}\hbar^{j}$  has degree  $|\alpha| + |\beta| + 2j$ . In fact we can assume that

$$[\hat{H}] = \sum_{i} \frac{u_i}{2} (x_i^2 + \xi_i^2) + \cdots$$

where the dots indicate terms of degree three and higher. Notice that

 $[\hat{H}]|_{\hbar=0}$  = the Taylor series of the principal symbol of  $\hat{H}$ .

Let  $\hat{H}_2$  denotes the Weyl quantization of

$$H_2 := \sum_i \frac{u_i}{2} (x_i^2 + \xi_i^2),$$

and set

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_2 + \hat{L}.$$

To construct the quantum Birkhoff canonical form of  $\hat{H}$ , one conjugates  $\hat{H}$  by suitable Fourier integral operators in order to successively make higher-order terms

in L commute with  $\hat{H}_2$ . The resulting series is the quantum Birkhoff canonical form,  $H_{\text{can}}$  of H.

The non-resonance condition implies that we can write  $H_{\text{can}}$  in the form:

(2.1) 
$$\widehat{H}_{can} = \widehat{H}_2 + F(P_1, \dots, P_n, \hbar), \quad P_i = \hbar^2 D_i^2 + x_i^2$$

with F an  $\hbar$ -admissible symbol whose Taylor series is of the form

(2.2) 
$$[F] = \sum_{|r| \ge 1} c_r(\hbar) p^r,$$

where  $p_i = \xi_i^2 + x_i^2$ ,  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ ,

$$(2.3) c_r(\hbar) = \hbar^{|r|-1} \Big( c_{r,0} + \cdots \Big)$$

and  $c_r(0) = 0$  for |r| = 1 (so that all the monomials in  $[F] - H_2$  have degree  $\geq 3$ ).

Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the eigenvalues, (1.1), determine the semi-classical Birkhoff canonical form of  $\hat{H}$ .

#### 3. The proof of Theorem 2.1

The first step in our argument is more or less identical with that of [4], [3] and [5]. Assume without loss of generality that C=0, and let  $\rho \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  be equal to one on the interval [-1/2, 1/2] and zero outside the interval [-1, 1]. Then for  $\epsilon$  small the  $\rho$ -truncated wave trace

(3.1) 
$$\operatorname{trace} \rho\left(\frac{\hat{H}}{\epsilon}\right) \exp \frac{-it\hat{H}}{\hbar}$$

is equal modulo  $O(\hbar^{\infty})$  to the  $\rho$ -truncated wave trace for the Birkhoff canonical form,

$$(3.2) \qquad {\rm Tr}(t,\hbar) := {\rm trace} \; \rho\Big(\frac{\widehat{H_{\rm can}}}{\epsilon}\Big) \; \exp\Big(\frac{-it\widehat{H_{\rm can}}}{\hbar}\Big).$$

The truncated wave trace admits an asymptotic expansion  $\operatorname{Tr}(t,\hbar) \sim a_0(t) + a_1(t)\hbar + \cdots$  as  $\hbar \to 0$ . This follows from the method of stationary phase and fact that for each t the operator  $\rho(\epsilon^{-1}\hat{H})e^{it\hbar^{-1}\hat{H}}$  is an  $\hbar$ -Fourier integral operator. Writing the truncated trace as an oscillatory integral, for each t the phase has a unique critical point, corresponding to the absolute minimum  $(x_0, \xi_0)$  which is a fixed point of the classical flow. Since the cutoff operator  $\rho(\frac{\widehat{H_{\operatorname{can}}}}{\epsilon})$  is microlocally equal to the identity in a neighborhood of  $(x_0, \xi_0)$ , the asymptotic expansion of the cutoff trace is independent of  $\rho$ , provided  $\rho \in C_0^{\infty}$  is identically equal to one near zero.

The truncated trace of the Birkhoff canonical form equals

(3.3) 
$$\operatorname{Tr}(t,\hbar) = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n} \rho\left(\frac{H_{\operatorname{can}}(\hbar(k+1/2),\hbar)}{\epsilon}\right) e^{it\hbar^{-1}H_{\operatorname{can}}(\hbar(k+1/2),\hbar)}.$$

However, since  $\rho$  is identically equal to one in a neighborhood of zero, as a power series in  $\hbar$ 

(3.4) 
$$\operatorname{Tr}(t,\hbar) \sim \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n} e^{it\hbar^{-1} H_{\operatorname{can}}(\hbar(k+1/2),\hbar)}.$$

We now rewrite (3.4) in a more amenable form using a variant of the "Zelditch trick" (see [6]).

**Proposition 3.1.** For any choice of  $\rho$  as above and for  $\epsilon$  small, as  $\hbar \to 0$ 

$$(3.5) \qquad \operatorname{Tr}(t,\hbar) \sim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^m}{m!} \left( \sum_{|r|>1} \hbar^{|r|-1} c_r(\hbar) \left( \frac{1}{t} D_{\theta} \right)^r \right)^m \left. \frac{e^{it\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \theta_j}}{\Pi_j (1 - e^{it\theta_j})} \right|_{\theta = u},$$

where

$$D_{\theta} = -i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n}\right)$$

and the right-hand side of (3.5) is understood as a power series in  $\hbar$ .

*Proof.* Recalling that  $[\hat{H}_2, \hat{F}] = 0$ ,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(t,\hbar) \sim \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n} e^{itu \cdot (k+1/2)} \langle k| e^{it\hbar^{-1}\hat{F}} |k\rangle,$$

where  $\{|k\rangle\}$  is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of the canonical *n*-torus representation on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $u \cdot (k+1/2) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j(k_j+1/2)$ . For each k, the Taylor expansion, [F], gives us an asymptotic expansion (3.6)

$$\langle k | e^{it\hbar^{-1}\hat{F}} | k \rangle = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^m}{\hbar^m m!} F(\hbar(k+1/2), \hbar)^m \sim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^m}{m!} \Bigl( \sum_r \hbar^{|r|-1} \, c_r(\hbar) (k+1/2)^r \Bigr)^m.$$

Let us introduce the variables  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$  and write:

$$(k+1/2)^r e^{itu\cdot(k+1/2)} = \left(\frac{1}{t}D_\theta\right)^r e^{it\theta\cdot(k+1/2)}\Big|_{\theta=u}.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Tr}(t,\hbar) \sim \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^m}{m!} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \rho \left( \frac{F(\hbar(k+1/2),\hbar)}{\epsilon} \right) \left( \sum_r \hbar^{|r|-1} c_r(\hbar) \left( \frac{1}{t} D_{\theta} \right)^r \right)^m e^{it\theta \cdot (k+1/2)} \Big|_{\theta=u}.$$

Finally, for each m (summing a geometric series)

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left( \sum_r \hbar^{|r|-1} c_r(\hbar) \left( \frac{1}{t} D_{\theta} \right)^r \right)^m e^{it\theta \cdot (k+1/2)} \Big|_{\theta=u} =$$

$$= \left( \sum_{r} \hbar^{|r|-1} c_r(\hbar) \left( \frac{1}{t} D_{\theta} \right)^r \right)^m \left. \frac{e^{it \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} \theta_j}}{\Pi_i (1 - e^{it\theta_j})} \right|_{\theta = u},$$

and the result follows.

We will show that the m=0 term in the series on the right-hand side of (3.5) suffices to determine the  $c_r(\hbar)$ . More precisely:

**Theorem 3.2.** From the coefficients of  $\hbar^s$ ,  $s \leq \ell$ , in the series in  $\hbar$ 

(3.7) 
$$V(t,\hbar) = \sum_{|r|>1} \hbar^{|r|-1} c_r(\hbar) \left(\frac{1}{t} D_\theta\right)^r \left. \frac{e^{it\frac{1}{2}\sum_j \theta_j}}{\Pi_j (1 - e^{it\theta_j})} \right|_{\theta = u}$$

one can determine the coefficients of  $\hbar^s$ ,  $s \leq \ell$ , in  $c_r(\hbar)$  for all r.

*Proof.* Let  $\rho$  be a cutoff function as before, and  $\hat{\varphi} = \rho$ . Integrating (3.7) against  $\epsilon^n \varphi(\epsilon t)$  and essentially reversing the previous calculation, we find:

(3.8) 
$$\tilde{V}(\epsilon, \hbar) = \sum_{k} \left( \sum_{r} \hbar^{|r|-1} c_{r}(\hbar) (k+1/2)^{r} \right) \rho \left( \epsilon^{-1} u \cdot (k+1/2) \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{k} \hbar^{-1} F(\hbar(k+1/2)) \rho \left( \epsilon^{-1} u \cdot (k+1/2) \right).$$

Letting

$$c_r(\hbar) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{r,i} \, \hbar^{|r|-1+i},$$

we can rearrange (3.8) in increasing powers of  $\hbar$  (using the variable  $\ell = 2|r| - 2 + i$  for the exponent of  $\hbar$ ):

(3.9) 
$$\tilde{V}(\epsilon, \hbar) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \hbar^{\ell} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{\ell}{2}\right]} \sum_{|r|=j+1} c_{r,\ell-2j} \left( \sum_{k} (k+1/2)^{r} \rho \left( \epsilon^{-1} u \cdot (k+1/2) \right) \right).$$

Now arrange the numbers

$$u_k = u \cdot (k+1/2), \qquad k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$$

in strictly increasing order (which is possible because there are no repetitions among them):

$$(3.10) 0 < \nu_1 = u_k|_{k=0} < \nu_2 < \cdots.$$

Let us write:  $\nu_s = u_{k^{(s)}}$ . Now vary  $\epsilon$  in (3.9), starting with a very small value. Gradually increasing  $\epsilon$ , we can arrange that the coefficient of  $\hbar^{\ell}$  in (3.9) is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{\ell}{2}\right]} \sum_{|r|=i+1} c_{r,\ell-2j} \sum_{s=1}^{m} (k^{(s)} + 1/2)^r \rho\left(\epsilon^{-1}\nu_s\right)$$

for any given m. Therefore, by an inductive argument on m we can recover the values of the polynomial

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\ell}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{\ell}{2}\right]} \sum_{|r|=i+1} c_{r,\ell-2j} (x+1/2)^r$$

at all  $k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$ . But these values determine the polynomial, and therefore its coefficients.

Now we show that the asymptotic expansion of the trace,  $\mathrm{Tr}(t,\hbar)$ , determines V:

**Theorem 3.3.** From the coefficients of  $\hbar^s$ ,  $s \leq \ell$ , in the expansion (3.5) one can determine the coefficients of  $\hbar^s$ ,  $s \leq \ell$  in the series  $V(t,\hbar)$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $\ell$ .

The coefficient of  $\hbar$  in V coincides with the coefficient of  $\hbar$  in (3.5), since all terms in the sum (3.5) except the first are of order  $O(\hbar^m)$ , m > 1.

By theorem 3.2 the coefficient of  $\hbar$  in V enables us to determine the coefficient of  $\hbar$  in  $c_r(\hbar)$ , and hence the coefficient of  $\hbar^2$  in the second summand of (3.5). But the coefficient of  $\hbar^2$  in the first summand coincides with the coefficient of  $\hbar^2$  in V, so the coefficients of  $\hbar$  and  $\hbar^2$  in (3.5) determine the coefficient of  $\hbar^2$  in V. It is clear that this procedure can be continued indefinitely.

Theorem 2.1 is an immediate consequence of theorems 3.2 and 3.3.

#### 4. The resonant case

We now consider the case when the frequencies  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  are not linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Following [1], let us introduce the number

$$d = \min\{|\alpha|, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\} \mid \alpha \cdot u = 0\}$$

which is a measure of the rational relations among the frequencies (here  $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha_i|$ ). We will make use below of the following observation:

**Lemma 4.1.** Among the eigenvalues of  $\hat{H}_2$  of the form:

$$(4.1) \lambda_k = k \cdot (u + 1/2) with |k| < d/2$$

there are no repetitions (i. e. the mapping  $k \mapsto \lambda_k$  is 1-1 in the range |k| < d/2).

*Proof.* If  $k \cdot (u+1/2) = k' \cdot (u+1/2)$ , then  $(k-k') \cdot u = 0$  and therefore  $|k-k'| \ge d$ . The conclusion now follows from the triangle inequality.

Continuing to assume that the subprincipal symbol vanishes at the absolute minimum, the semi-classical Birkhoff canonical form in the resonant case has the following structure (see [1]):

$$H_{can} = H_2 + F + K,$$

where

- (1)  $F = F(p_1, \ldots, p_n, \hbar)$  where F is a polynomial in all variables of degree at most  $\left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]$ .
- (2) [K] is a power series with monomials  $\hbar^j x^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta}$  where  $|\alpha| + |\beta| + 2j > d$  and  $[\hat{H}_2, \hat{K}] = 0$ .

In this section we prove the following:

**Theorem 4.2.** If d is even the eigenvalues (1.1) determine the entire semi-classical canonical form,  $F(x,\hbar)$ . If d is odd, those eigenvalues determine the semi-classical canonical form except for the monomials of maximal degree,  $\left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]$ .

Except for a few additional complications, the method of proof is the same as in the non-resonant case. We begin by checking that the asymptotic expansion of the truncated trace can be treated by the same methods as before, up to a sufficiently high order in  $\hbar$ :

**Proposition 4.3.** In the resonant case, the expansion (3.5) is valid modulo  $O(\hbar^{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]})$ .

*Proof.* Once again we write the trace as a sum of diagonal matrix elements over a normalized basis  $\{|k\rangle\}$  of eigenfunctions of the standard representation of the *n*-torus, splitting off the  $H_2$  part (which is possible since  $\hat{F} + \hat{K}$  commutes with  $H_2$ ):

$$\operatorname{Tr}(t,\hbar) \sim \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n} e^{itu \cdot (k+1/2)} \langle k| e^{it\hbar^{-1}(\hat{F} + \hat{K})} |k\rangle.$$

We next expand the exponential in its Taylor series. We want to show that every term involving  $\hat{K}$  is  $O(\hbar^{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]})$ .

A term involving

$$\langle k|(\hat{F}+\hat{K})^m|k\rangle$$

is a sum of terms of the form

$$\langle k|\hat{F}_1\hat{K}_1\cdots\hat{F}_s\hat{K}_s|k\rangle$$

where the  $F_j$  are powers of F and the  $K_j$  are powers of K. Therefore, the  $K_j$  are sums of monomials  $\hbar^j x^{\alpha} \xi^{\beta}$  where  $2j + |\alpha| + |\beta| > d$ , just as is K. Let us express those monomials in terms of raising and lowering operators,

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = z^{\alpha} \overline{z}^{\beta}, \qquad z = x + i\xi.$$

Then (4.2) is a linear combination of terms of the form

(4.3) 
$$\hbar^{\sum_{i=1}^{s} j_i} \langle k | \hat{F}_1 \widehat{A}_{\alpha^1 \beta^1} \cdots \widehat{F}_s \widehat{A}_{\alpha^s \beta^s} | k \rangle$$

where, for each i,

$$2j_i + |\alpha^i| + |\beta^i| > d.$$

Now recall that (i) the  $\hat{F}_j$  are diagonal in the basis  $\{|k\rangle\}$  and (ii) the  $\widehat{A}_{\alpha\beta}$  act on the basis vectors by:

$$\widehat{A_{\alpha\beta}}|k\rangle = \hbar^{|\beta|}c_{\alpha\beta}|k + \alpha - \beta\rangle$$

where  $c_{\alpha\beta}$  is a constant whose value we won't need. Therefore, a diagonal matrix element of the sort (4.3) is zero unless

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha^i - \beta^i = 0,$$

in which case (4.3) is  $O(\hbar^{j+\sum_i |\beta^i|})$  where  $j = \sum_i j_i$ . However,  $|\alpha^i| + |\beta^i| > d - 2j_i$  for each i and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha^{i} - \beta^{i} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha^{i} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{s} \beta^{i} \right|.$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{i} |\beta^{i}| \geq [sd/2] - j$  and so (4.3) is  $O(\hbar^{[sd/2]})$ . It follows that all diagonal matrix elements to which  $\hat{K}$  contributes are at least  $O(\hbar^{[\frac{d}{2}]})$ .

**Lemma 4.4.**  $F(\hbar(x+1/2), \hbar)$  is a polynomial in  $\hbar$  of degree at most  $[\frac{d-1}{2}]$ , and if we write

$$F(\hbar(x+1/2), \hbar) = \sum_{j=0}^{\left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]} h^j F_j(x)$$

the power series expansion of  $\operatorname{Tr}(t,\hbar)$  determines the values  $F_j(k)$  for all  $k \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$  such that |k| < d/2, for all  $j \leq \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$  if d is even and for all  $j < \lfloor \frac{d-1}{2} \rfloor$  if d is odd.

*Proof.* The first statement follows from the general form of F.

By theorems 3.3 and 3.2, for any  $\ell$  the first  $\ell$  terms of the expansion of  $\operatorname{Tr}(t,\hbar)$  determine the first  $\ell$  terms of (3.8), provided we replace F by F+K. But, by the previous proposition, the expansion of  $\operatorname{Tr}(t,\hbar) \mod O(\hbar^{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]})$  is insensitive to what K is. Therefore, (3.8) remains valid mod  $O(\hbar^{\left[\frac{d}{2}\right]})$ , where F now stands for the part of the canonical form we are determining from the spectrum.

If d is even

$$[\frac{d-1}{2}]<[\frac{d}{2}],$$

and so it follows that the expansion of Tr determines the sums

$$\sum_{k} \hbar^{-1} F(\hbar(k+1/2)) \ \rho\Big(\epsilon^{-1} u \cdot (k+1/2)\Big).$$

Now we proceed as before, letting  $\epsilon$  grow starting at a very small value. Since the eigenvalues (4.1) are all different, we can determine the polynomial in  $\hbar$ ,  $F(\hbar(x+1/2))$ , evaluated at each k with |k| < d/2. If d is odd we must discard the term  $F_j$  with  $j = [\frac{d-1}{2}]$ .

Since  $F_j$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $\left[\frac{d-1}{2}\right]$ , the proof of theorem 4.2 is completed by the following result:

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$  be a polynomial of degree N. Then f is completely determined by its values at the points

$$(k_1+1/2,\ldots,k_n+1/2),$$

for all k such that  $|k| \leq N$  and  $k_i \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on the number of variables. The case n=1 is trivial. Assume the result is true for polynomials of n-1 variables, and let

$$f = f_N(x_2, \dots, x_n) + f_{N-1}(x_2, \dots, x_n)x_1 + \dots + f_0 x_1^N$$

Note that degree  $f_i = i$ .

Evaluating f at  $(k+1/2, 1/2, \ldots, 1/2)$ ,  $0 \le k \le N$  determines  $f_i(1/2, 1/2, \ldots, 1/2)$ ,  $i = 0, \ldots N$ , and in particular determines  $f_0$ .

Evaluating  $f - f_0 x_1^N$  at  $(k + 1/2, k_2 + 1/2, ..., k_n + 1/2)$ ,  $0 \le k \le N - 1$ ,  $k_2 + \cdots + k_n \le 1$  determines  $f_i(k_2 + 1/2, ..., k_n + 1/2)$  at all  $k_2 + \cdots + k_n \le 1$  and in particular determines  $f_1$ .

Evaluating  $f - f_1 x_1^{N-1} - f_0 x^N$  at  $(k+1/2, k_2+1/2, ..., k_n+1/2)$ ,  $0 \le k \le N-2$ ,  $k_2 + \cdots + k_n \le 2$  determines  $f_i(k_2 + 1/2, ..., k_n + 1/2)$  at all  $k_2 + \cdots + k_n \le 2$  and in particular determines  $f_2$ . Etc.

When d is odd our methods recover the classical Birkhoff normal form of H except for its monomials of top degree,  $\frac{d-1}{2}$ .

### References

- L. Charles and Vũ Ngọc San, Spectral asymptotics via the semiclassical Birkhoff normal form, perprint arXiv: math.SP/0605096.
- [2] V. Guillemin, Wave trace invariants, Duke Math. Journal 83 (1996), 287-352.
- [3] V. Guillemin and T. Paul, Semiclassical trace invariants, in preparation.
- [4] V. Guillemin and A. Uribe, Some inverse spectral results for semi-classical Schrödinger operators, preprint arXiv: math.SP/0509290.
- [5] A. Iantchenko, J. Sjöstrand and M. Zworski, Birkhoff normal forms in semi-classical inverse problems, Math. Res. Lett. 9 (2002), 337–362.
- [6] S. Zelditch, Wave invariants at elliptic closed geodesics, Geom. Funct. Anal. 7 (1997), 145-213

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA 02139.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \mathtt{vwg@mit.edu}$ 

Département de mathématiques et applications, École Normale Supérieure, 45 rue d'Ulm - F 75230 Paris cedex 05.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \texttt{Thierry.Paul@ens.fr}$ 

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, 530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1043.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: uribe@umich.edu}$